UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,905	02/23/2004	Charles Ebert	00873-2003.001	6139
28122 7590 09/25/2007 THORPE NORTH & WESTERN P.O. BOX 1219			EXAMINER	
			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE	
SANDY, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/784,905	EBERT, CHARLES			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	-	Renee Claytor	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1				
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2007.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-24 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
·	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen		4) 🦳 Interview Summai	ov (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/784,905

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I, namely claims 1-10, in the reply filed on 7/23/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Oath and Declaration

The claim of benefit to the earlier filed application 60/448,488 lists the incorrect filing date. The date listed is the filing date of the present application and not the filing date of the Provisional application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riegelman et al. (US Patent 4,151,273) in view of van der Vies (US Patent 4,098,802).

Riegelman et al. teach oral dosage formulations to increase the absorption of drugs. This includes the administration of formulations comprised of testosterone and a solid polyethylene glycol (PEG) carrier (Col. 2, lines 1-9, 13-14 and 19). It is taught that

Application/Control Number: 10/784,905

Art Unit: 1617

PEG has a molecular weight of 1,000 to 20,000 which closely overlaps with the range listed in claims 1, 4, 5 and 10.

Riegelman et al. does not teach the percent weight of the solid polyethylene glycol carrier or a specific dose of testosterone.

van der Vies teaches oral dosage forms of testosterone esters with doses that fall within the range of the instant claims (see Examples 1-3 and 5 in which testosterone esters make up 10, 25 and 30 mg of the capsule). Because van der Vies teaches the same dose ranges, it would be obvious that the serum level of testosterone would fall within 15 ng/dl to about 1200 ng/dl.

Furthermore, it is obvious to vary and/or optimize the amount of the solid polyethylene glycol provided in the composition, according to the guidance provided by Riegelman et al., to provide a composition having the desired properties such as the desired percentage to effectively increase the absorbability of insoluble drugs. It is noted that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Accordingly, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Riegelman et al., which teach oral dosage formulations comprised of testosterone and a solid PEG carrier to increase the absorption of drugs, with the teachings of van der Vies which teaches oral dosage forms of testosterone esters that fall within the claimed ranges. One would be motivated to use the dosage forms taught by van der Vies in the invention of Riegelman et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,905

Art Unit: 1617

because van der Vies teaches that the dose ranges taught are therapeutically effective and have androgenic activity.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,905 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor